

SUPER SELF-DUALITY AS ANALYTICITY IN HARMONIC SUPERSPACE

Ch.Devchand

Laboratory of Theoretical Physics,
JINR, Dubna, 141980, Russia

and

V. Ogievetsky *

Theory Division, CERN,
CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland.**Abstract**

A twistor correspondence for the self-duality equations for supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories is developed. Their solutions are shown to be encoded in analytic harmonic superfields satisfying appropriate generalised Cauchy-Riemann conditions. An action principle yielding these conditions is presented.

CERN-TH.6653/92

September 1992

* On leave from the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, Dubna, 141980, Russia

1. There has recently been a revival of interest in self-duality equations, arising from numerous confirmations of a remarkable suggestion [1] that all integrable systems are obtainable by dimensional reduction from 4D self-dual theories. The purpose of this letter is to show that for supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories [2] the self-duality equations can be written in a form in which their integrability becomes manifest and their solutions can be constructed in terms of superfields which are in a definite sense holomorphic. In other words, we shall establish the so-called “twistor correspondence” for the supersymmetric self-duality equations analogous to that for the ordinary ($N = 0$) self-duality equations [3], which in the harmonic space language [4-6] involves a splitting of the coordinate $x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ into $x^{+\alpha} = u_{\dot{\beta}}^{+} x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ and $x^{-\alpha} = u_{\dot{\beta}}^{-} x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$, where $u_{\dot{\beta}}^{\pm}$ are harmonics on the two-sphere, which appears in the harmonisation of the rotation group [4-6], and α and $\dot{\beta}$ are two-spinor indices. The gist of ordinary self-duality is the Cauchy-Riemann-like equation

$$\nabla_{\alpha}^{+} \phi = 0, \quad (1)$$

where ∇_{α}^{+} is the covariant derivative in $x^{-\alpha}$. We shall show that this construction can be extended naturally to supersymmetric gauge theories. In the $N = 1$ case we shall use the harmonic superspace with coordinates

$$x^{\pm\alpha} \equiv u_{\dot{\beta}}^{\pm} x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \quad \vartheta^{\alpha}, \quad \bar{\vartheta}^{\pm} \equiv u_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\pm} \bar{\vartheta}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad u_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\pm}. \quad (2)$$

Now super-self-duality is the condition for the integrability of the equation

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{+} \phi = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{+}$ is the gauge-covariant spinorial derivative with respect to the variable $\bar{\vartheta}^{-}$. $N = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theories respect chirality, so we may, without loss of generality, take the field ϕ in (3) to be a **chiral** superfield $\phi(x^{+\alpha}, x^{-\alpha}, \bar{\vartheta}^{+}, \bar{\vartheta}^{-})$ independent of ϑ^{α} , i.e.

$$D_{\alpha} \phi = 0, \quad (4)$$

where D_{α} is the covariant spinorial derivative with respect to the variables ϑ^{α} , which may be made flat by definition; and we shall set, throughout this letter, the corresponding connections to zero,

$$A_{\alpha} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Of importance is the fact that consistency of (3) and (4) implies (1) in virtue of the algebra of spinorial derivatives, so $N = 1$ self-duality implies the usual $N = 0$ self-duality. We shall demonstrate that all solutions of the supersymmetric self-duality conditions are encoded in a “holomorphic” chiral superfield which satisfies generalised Cauchy-Riemann conditions; in other words, we shall establish the famous twistor correspondence [3] for the supersymmetric self-duality equations. By holomorphicity we mean that there is a basis in which this superfield is independent of $x^{-\alpha}$, $\bar{\vartheta}^{-}$ and ϑ^{α} . The resulting formulation greatly simplifies the problem of constructing gauge superpotentials $A_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, A_{\dot{\beta}}$ solving the

super-self-duality equations. It also helps in the search for an action principle for super-self-duality.

Although our considerations are rigorous only for 4D Euclidean space, we shall remain in the complexified picture with Lorentz group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})_R$, with α and $\dot{\alpha}$ labelling fundamental representations of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})_L$ and $SL(2, \mathbb{C})_R$, respectively. In [6] it was shown that consideration of the complexified picture is required by conformal invariance of the self-duality equations. Reality conditions appropriate for the required signature of four dimensional real space may be imposed; e.g. by identifying undotted and dotted spinors as representations of the two different $SU(2)$'s in the 4D Lorentz group $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ corresponding to a Euclidean signature; or by identifying them as representations of two different $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$'s, with Lorentz group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$ corresponding to a (2,2) signature. In the latter case we expect intriguing peculiarities due to the non-compactness of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and an appropriate harmonic space needs to be considered (see e.g. [7]).

2. In complexified superspace $\mathcal{M}_{4|4}$ of complex dimension (4|4) with coordinates $(x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \vartheta^\alpha, \bar{\vartheta}^{\dot{\alpha}})$, the $N = 1$ super Yang-Mills theory is conventionally described in terms of two spinorial field strengths $w_\alpha, \bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}} , \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}] &= \epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} w_\alpha \\ [\mathcal{D}_\beta , \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}] &= \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} \bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where the gauge-covariant derivatives $\mathcal{D}_A \equiv \partial_A + A_A = (\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}})$ satisfy the familiar constraints

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha , \mathcal{D}_\beta\} = 0 \tag{7a}$$

$$\{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} , \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0 \tag{7b}$$

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha , \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 2 \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \tag{7c}$$

and the supertranslations

$$\partial_A = (\partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}) \equiv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\vartheta}^{\dot{\beta}}} + 2\vartheta^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}}, \right)$$

realise the free superalgebra

$$\begin{aligned} [D_\beta , \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}] &= [\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} , \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}] = \{D_\alpha , D_\beta\} = \{\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} , \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0 \\ [D_\alpha , D_{\dot{\beta}}] &= 2 \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

The self-duality equations for the superconnection A_A take the form of the following further (in comparison with (6)) constraints

$$[\mathcal{D}_\beta , \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}] = 0, \tag{8}$$

which say that $\bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ vanishes. That this is the supersymmetrisation of the usual ($N = 0$) self-duality condition is evident from the dimension (1|1) Jacobi identity which yields the following superfield equations

$$f_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 0 \quad (a), \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha w_\beta = 2 f_{\alpha\beta} \quad (b) \tag{9}$$

for the self- and anti-dual vector field strengths $f_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, f_{\alpha\beta}$ appearing in the definition

$$[\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \mathcal{D}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] \equiv \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} f_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} f_{\alpha\beta}.$$

The dimension $(\frac{3}{2}|1)$ and $(\frac{1}{2}|\frac{3}{2})$ Jacobi identities are then satisfied, respectively, if w_α and $f_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfy the (anti-) chirality equations

$$\mathcal{D}_\gamma f_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad (c), \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}} w_\alpha = 0 \quad (d). \quad (9)$$

All other Jacobi identities are then automatic, requiring the introduction of no further superfield strengths. Equations (8) are the superfield self-duality equations. They indeed imply the equations of motion $\epsilon^{\gamma\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} f_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^{\gamma\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} w_\alpha = 0$. We have therefore shown that the constraints (7), (8) for A_A imply the superfield equations (9) for the superfield-strength w_α and superfield vector-potential $A_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$. These in turn imply the ordinary space supersymmetric self-duality equations for the component fields (which we denote by the same symbols as the superfields of which they are the leading components)

$$f_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 0, \quad \epsilon^{\gamma\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} w_\alpha = \bar{w}_{\dot{\alpha}} = 0,$$

on eliminating all gauge degrees of freedom depending on the anticommuting superspace coordinates. The converse, that given a set of component fields satisfying these component equations, one can reconstruct superfields satisfying (9), and in turn the superconnection A_A satisfying (7), (8) is also true. The proof closely follows the methods of [8].

3. Our main purpose here, however, is to introduce yet another piece of data corresponding to the above three: a ‘holomorphic’ prepotential in harmonic superspace. We shall show that the constraints (7), (8) imply generalised Cauchy-Riemann (CR) conditions for a prepotential in harmonic superspace and that any superconnection satisfying (7), (8) may be expressed in terms of such holomorphic prepotentials. (We shall use ‘holomorphic’ in this generalised sense; to describe solutions of these generalised CR conditions). This construction is a realisation of the twistor construction [3] for supersymmetric self-dual systems in the harmonic superspace framework.

In the present complex setting, the harmonics $u_\alpha^\pm$ remain, as usual, S^2 harmonics, the 2-sphere being a coset of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$ part of the Lorentz group with its maximal parabolic subgroup. In this setting, u^+ and u^- are *not* complex conjugates of each other; and are defined up to parabolic subgroup transformations. They obey the usual constraints $u^{+\dot{\alpha}} u_{\dot{\alpha}}^- = 1$. Details of this construction, the role of conformal invariance, as well as appropriate reality conditions may be found in [6]. For our $N = 1$ harmonic superspace the derivatives $\partial_\alpha^\pm \equiv u^{\pm\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, $D^+ = u^{\pm\dot{\alpha}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}$, D_α , together with harmonic ones

$$D^{\pm\pm} = u^{\pm\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\mp\dot{\alpha}}}, \quad D^0 = u^{+\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{+\dot{\alpha}}} - u^{-\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{-\dot{\alpha}}},$$

realise the free superalgebra

$$\{D_\alpha, \bar{D}^\pm\} = 2 \partial_\alpha^\pm, \quad (10a)$$

$$[D^{++}, D^{--}] = D^0, \quad [D^0, D^{\pm\pm}] = \pm 2 D^{\pm\pm} \quad (10b)$$

$$[D^{\pm\pm}, \bar{D}^\mp] = \bar{D}^\pm, \quad [D^{\pm\pm}, \partial_\alpha^\mp] = \partial_\alpha^\pm, \quad (10c)$$

with all other commutators vanishing. Now, in terms of the gauge-covariant derivatives

$$\nabla_\alpha^+ = \partial_\alpha^+ + A_\alpha^+ = u^{+\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+ = \bar{D}^+ + \bar{A}^+ = u^{+\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha = D_\alpha,$$

(recall that $A_\alpha = 0$) obeying the commutation relations

$$[D^{++}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}^+] = 0, \quad (11a)$$

$$[D^{\pm\pm}, \mathcal{D}_\alpha] = 0, \quad (11b)$$

$$[D^{++}, \nabla_\alpha^+] = 0, \quad (11c)$$

the constraints (7), (8) are equivalent to the Cauchy-Riemann system

$$[\bar{\mathcal{D}}^+, \nabla_\alpha^+] = 0, \quad (12a)$$

$$[\mathcal{D}_\beta, \nabla_\alpha^+] = 0, \quad (12b)$$

$$[\bar{\mathcal{D}}^+, \mathcal{D}_\alpha] = 2 \nabla_\alpha^+. \quad (12c).$$

Remarkably, these are precisely the integrability conditions for equations (1), (3) and (4). We therefore have the following pure-gauge-like expressions for A_α^+ and $\bar{A}^+$

$$A_\alpha^+ = -\partial_\alpha^+ \phi \phi^{-1}, \quad \bar{A}^+ = -\bar{D}^+ \phi \phi^{-1}. \quad (13)$$

4. Equations (11), (12) are therefore equivalent to the constraints (7), (8). Let us now choose a coordinate basis, which we shall call the analytic frame, in which the derivatives take the forms

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D}_\alpha &= \phi^{-1} [\mathcal{D}_\alpha] \phi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^\alpha}, \\ \widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^+ &= \phi^{-1} [\nabla_\alpha^+] \phi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{-\alpha}}, \\ \widehat{\bar{D}}^+ &= \phi^{-1} [\bar{\mathcal{D}}^+] \phi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\vartheta}^-} + 2\vartheta^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{-\alpha}}, \\ \widehat{D}^{++} &= \phi^{-1} [D^{++}] \phi = D^{++} + V^{++} \\ \widehat{D}^{--} &= \phi^{-1} [D^{--}] \phi = D^{--} + V^{--}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In this basis the covariant derivatives $\widehat{\bar{D}}^+$ and the $\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha^+$ become flat, losing their connections ($\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$ remains flat), while the harmonic derivatives $D^{\pm\pm}$ clearly acquire the connections

$$V^{++} = \phi^{-1} D^{++} \phi, \quad (15a)$$

$$V^{--} = \phi^{-1} D^{--} \phi. \quad (15b)$$

In order to preserve the operator D^0 as a charge counting operator, we have used (as usual, see e.g. [3]) the conventional gauge in which it does not acquire a connection:

$$[\widehat{D}^{++}, \widehat{D}^{--}] = D^0. \quad (16)$$

In this basis the dynamical content is contained entirely in (11), the rest of the equations being kinematical. Using the identity

$$A(Bff^{-1}) \equiv f(B(f^{-1}Af))f^{-1} + [A, B]ff^{-1},$$

for arbitrary differential operators A and B , eqs. (11) take the form of generalised CR conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\vartheta}^-} V^{++} &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^\alpha} V^{\pm\pm} &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{-\alpha}} V^{++} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

V^{++} is therefore holomorphic: it depends on $x^{+\alpha}$, ϑ^+ and $u^\pm$, being independent of $x^{-\alpha}$, $\bar{\vartheta}^-$ and ϑ^α ; both V^{++} and V^{--} are chiral. Note that the third equation is a consequence of the other two. We have shown that to any solution of the super self-duality constraints (8), there corresponds a chiral holomorphic superfield V^{++} taking values in the gauge algebra and having component expansion

$$V^{++}(x^{+\alpha}, \bar{\vartheta}^+, u_\alpha^\pm) = v^{++}(x^{+\alpha}, u_\alpha^\pm) + \bar{\vartheta}^+ \chi^+(x^{+\alpha}, u_\alpha^\pm). \quad (18)$$

The superfield V^{++} is defined modulo gauge transformations

$$\delta V^{++} = [\widehat{D}^{++}, \lambda],$$

where λ is an arbitrary holomorphic superfield. Note that due to the presence of the fermion mode there is an important difference with the $N = 0$ case: whereas the $N = 0$ connection was encoded in one function on the 2-sphere, in the present case we have **two** functions, v^{++} and χ^+ , instead.

5. The converse statement, that any chiral analytic superfield prepotential V^{++} encodes a superconnection A_A satisfying (7), (8) also holds. To reconstruct the superconnection A_A there are two options.

- a) We can start with the chiral superfield ϕ . In this case we need to solve (15a) for ϕ ; V^{++} being given. Equations on the 2-sphere of this kind are not too easy to solve and they appear in many applications of the harmonic-twistor approach, see, e.g. [4]. In order to determine the corresponding superconnection solving (7), (8), we need to insert the ϕ thus obtained into the following formulae

$$A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 2 \int d^2u \, u_{\dot{\alpha}}^- \phi \partial_\alpha^+ \phi^{-1}, \quad A_{\dot{\alpha}} = 2 \int d^2u \, u_{\dot{\alpha}}^- \phi \bar{D}^+ \phi^{-1}, \quad (19)$$

which follow immediately from (13); and A_α is of course zero.

- b) Instead of ϕ , we can start with the harmonic connection V^{--} . It follows from (16) that

$$Z \equiv D^{++}V^{--} - D^{--}V^{++} + [V^{++}, V^{--}] = 0. \quad (20)$$

For a given holomorphic V^{++} taking values in the gauge algebra, it contains a set of coupled first-order linear equations for the gauge algebra components of V^{--} . These may be solved [9] somewhat more easily than (15a). Now, as further consequences of gauge-covariantising the harmonic derivatives (14), we have, from (10),

$$[\hat{D}^{--}, \bar{D}^+] = \bar{D}^-, \quad [\hat{D}^{--}, \bar{D}^-] = 0, \quad [\hat{D}^{--}, \partial_\alpha^+] = \nabla_\alpha^-, \quad [\hat{D}^{--}, \nabla_\alpha^-] = 0, \quad (21)$$

from which we obtain superconnections

$$\bar{A}^- = -\bar{D}^+V^{--}, \quad A_\beta^- = -\partial_\beta^+V^{--}, \quad (22)$$

in terms of solutions of (20). Now, superconnections satisfying (7),(8) may be recovered by harmonic integration similar to (19). In fact, from V^{--} we may also directly construct the superfield strength w_α satisfying the superfield equations (9); namely, from (6),

$$w_\alpha = -[\bar{D}^+, \nabla_\alpha^-] = \bar{D}^+\partial_\alpha^+V^{--}. \quad (23)$$

An alternative to equation (20) follows from the following commutators contained in the superalgebra (10) (in the analytic frame)

$$[\bar{D}^-, \nabla_\alpha^-] = 0 = [\nabla_\alpha^-, \nabla_\beta^-].$$

These yield the alternative equations for V^{--} :

$$L_\alpha^{--} \equiv -\partial_\alpha^+\bar{D}^-V^{--} + \partial_\alpha^-\bar{D}^+V^{--} + [\bar{D}^+V^{--}, \partial_\alpha^+V^{--}] = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$L^{--} \equiv \partial^{+\alpha}\partial_\alpha^-V^{--} + [\partial^{+\alpha}V^{--}, \partial_\alpha^+V^{--}] = 0. \quad (25)$$

The latter equation is in fact the one introduced for the $N = 0$ case in [10]. We note the following interesting interrelations amongst the left-hand-sides of equations (20), (24) and (25):

$$\bar{D}^+L^{--} = \partial^{+\alpha}L_\alpha^{--}, \quad \nabla_\alpha^-\partial^{+a}Z = \hat{D}^{++}L^{--}, \quad \nabla_\alpha^-\bar{D}^+Z = \hat{D}^{++}L_\alpha^{--}.$$

6. We now present an action for super self-duality. Since all we need to have is the generalised CR conditions (17), with V^{++} expressed in terms of the field ϕ , as a variational equation, we plug this condition into an action functional with the help of a Lagrange multiplier-type auxiliary field. The latter does not propagate if it contains only gauge degrees of freedom. For the chiral superfield ϕ , the action functional

$$S = \int d^4x d^2\bar{\vartheta} du \operatorname{tr} (\bar{D}^+\zeta^{-3}\phi^{-1}D^{++}\phi) \quad (26)$$

yields, on varying the auxiliary field ζ^{-3} , the CR condition $\bar{D}^+ V^{++} = 0$, V^{++} is chiral by definition; and the final condition $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{-\alpha}} V^{++} = 0$ is a consequence. Now, on varying ϕ , we obtain

$$-\phi^{-1} D^{++} [\phi \bar{D}^+ \zeta^{-3} \phi^{-1}] = 0. \quad (27a)$$

It follows (cf. [11]) that

$$\bar{D}^+ \zeta^{-3} = 0. \quad (27b)$$

All solutions of this equation have the form

$$\zeta^{-3} = \bar{D}^+ y^{-4}. \quad (28)$$

However ζ^{-3} enters the action via $\bar{D}^+ \zeta^{-3}$, so it is only defined modulo the addition of $\bar{D}^+ y^{-4}$. ζ^{-3} therefore does not represent any additional physical degree of freedom. For $N = 0$ an analogous action was discussed in [11,12].

Alternatively, we may choose V^{--} (instead of ϕ) as the dynamical field, express V^{++} in terms V^{--} with the help of (20), and construct an action having analyticity conditions for the functional $V^{++}[V^{--}]$ as variational equations.

There also exists the possibility (analogous to the $N = 0$ action considered in [10]) of writing an action for eq.(25) trilinear in V^{--} . It explicitly contains a constant harmonic factor of charge +4, say $(u_1^+ u_2^+)^2$, and is consequently not Lorentz invariant.

7. To conclude we generalise our construction to N-extended harmonic-superspace with coordinates $x^{\pm\alpha}$, $\vartheta^{\alpha i}$, $\bar{\vartheta}_j^{\pm} \equiv u_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\pm} \bar{\vartheta}_j^{\dot{\alpha}}$, $u_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\pm}$, where $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. Solutions of the generalised CR conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\vartheta}_j^-} (\phi^{-1} D^{++} \phi) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^{\alpha i}} (\phi^{-1} D^{\pm\pm} \phi) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{-\alpha}} (\phi^{-1} D^{++} \phi) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

encode N-extended self-dual superconnections. It may be verified that the superconnection components

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= \int d^2 u \, u_{\dot{\alpha}}^- \phi \partial_{\alpha}^+ \phi^{-1}, \\ A_{\dot{\alpha}}^j &= \int d^2 u \, u_{\dot{\alpha}}^- \phi \bar{D}^{+j} \phi^{-1}, \\ A_{\alpha i} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

with ϕ satisfying (29), automatically satisfy the self-dual restrictions of the conventional extended superconnection constraints

$$F_{i\alpha j\beta} = 0 = F_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}^{ij} + F_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}^{ij}$$

$$F_{\alpha\dot{\beta}j}^i = 0.$$

That integrability conditions for these equations yield (29) follows from reasoning parallel to that for the $N=1$ case above.

For the full (non-self-dual) $N = 2$ and 3 theories, for which harmonic superspace formulations (harmonising the internal automorphism group) exist [4,6], the self-duality conditions are also equivalent to “double” analyticity conditions arising from Lorentz as well as internal automorphism group harmonisation. We intend to return to these theories elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

We should like to sincerely thank A. Galperin, A. Leznov, E. Ivanov, D. Khetselius and E. Sokatchev for valuable discussions. One of us, VO, appreciates very much the fruitful and cordial atmosphere of the CERN Theory Division.

References

- [1] R.S. Ward, *Phil.Trans.Roy.Soc.* A315 (1985) 451; N.J. Hitchin, *Proc.Lond.Math.Soc.* 55 (1987) 59.
- [2] V. Novikov, M. Shifman, A. Vainstein and V. Zakharov, *Nucl.Phys.* B229, (1983), 394, 407; A. Semikhatov, *Phys.Lett.* 120B (1983) 171; I. Volovich, *Phys.Lett.* 123B (1983) 329; S. Ketov, H. Nishino, S.J. Gates, Jr, prepr. UMDEPP 92-211.
- [3] For instance, R.S. Ward and R.O. Wells, *Twistor Geometry and Field Theory*, (CUP, Cambridge, 1990).
- [4] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, in *Quantum Field Theory and Statistics*, (A.Hilger, Bristol, 1987) vol.2, 233; O. Ogievetsky, in *Proc. Conf. on Group. Theor. Methods in Physics*, Varna, 1987 (Berlin, Springer, 1988) 548; Thesis, Lebedev Physical Institute (1988) 329, Moscow; S. Kalitzin and E. Sokatchev, *Class. Quantum Gravity* 4 (1987) L173.
- [5] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* 185 (1988) 1.
- [6] M. Evans, F. Gürsey and V. Ogievetsky, prepr. CERN-TH 6533/92, RU-92-11-B.
- [7] F. Delduc, A. Galperin and E. Sokatchev, *Nucl. Phys.* B368, (1992) 143.
- [8] J. Harnad, J. Hurtubise, M. Légaré and S. Shnider, *Nucl. Phys.* B256 (1985) 609; J. Harnad and S. Shnider, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 106 (1986) 183.
- [9] B. Zupnik, *Theor.Math.Phys.* 69 (1986) 175.
- [10] A. Leznov, *Theor.Math.Phys.* 73 (1987) 302; A. Leznov and M. Mukhtarov, *J. Math. Phys.* 28 (1987) 2574.
- [11] S. Kalitzin and E. Sokatchev, *Phys.Lett.* 257B (1991) 151.
- [12] N. Markus, Y. Oz and S. Yankielowicz, *Nucl. Phys.* B379 (1992) 121.